

REMARKS

The objection to claim 11 under Section 112 has been cured.


The cited reference does not meet claim 11 since it does not teach filling the regions between adjacent modules with a filler material having a desiccant mixed into the material. To the contrary, Yamazaki teaches away. He apparently uses a single module display as best as can be determined. Therefore, he does not suggest using a filler material including a desiccant between modules.

The rejection fails to meet the claimed elements since it discusses whether or not the filler material between the front and back plates has a desiccant and does not address whether any filler material with a desiccant is used between adjacent modules.

Therefore, reconsideration of the rejection of claim 11 and its dependent claims is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: May 28, 2004



Timothy M. Trop, Reg. No. 28,994
TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C.
8554 Katy Freeway, Ste. 100
Houston, TX 77024
713/468-8880 [Phone]
713/468-8883 [Fax]




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3